Mirror Neurons: Why You Feel Other People's Pain

April 16, 2026



On an ordinary afternoon, inside a crowded subway car, someone slams their shin into the edge of a seat. The sound lasts a second. But something strange happens: several people wince at the same time. A man clenches his jaw. A woman touches her own leg as if the impact had landed on her. No one is bleeding except the injured person. And yet the pain seems to leak into the air, like an invisible current.

Something like this happened to the Italian neuroscientist Giacomo Rizzolatti in the 1990s, though in a less ordinary and more unsettling setting: a lab in Parma. His team was studying how macaque monkeys moved their hands when grasping objects. Electrodes were recording the activity of certain neurons, like microphones picking up the tiny crackle inside the brain. Then came the kind of accident that changes science: one of those neurons fired not when the monkey grabbed a peanut, but when it watched a researcher do it. For a moment, the animal's brain behaved as if seeing and doing were almost the same thing.

The idea was unsettling and beautiful at once. As if inside us there were tiny actors rehearsing other people's movements in silence. As if, when we watch someone cry, stumble, or laugh, part of our brain lights up a dim version of that same scene on our own inner stage.

You do not need a laboratory to notice it. It happens when you yawn after seeing someone else yawn. When your stomach tightens watching a child fall off a bicycle. When you feel secondhand

embarrassment seeing someone fail in public. Or when a movie devastates you without laying a finger on your skin.

- In 2003, neuroscientist Tania Singer showed that when a person saw their romantic partner receive an electric shock, regions linked to emotional pain became active in the observer's brain.
- In 2006, Christian Keysers pushed this idea further by studying how the brain responds when we see others being touched, hurt, or feeling something.
- And long before scanners existed, actors, parents, and children already knew this effect without naming it: emotions spread.

But there is a troubling crack in this story. If we are built to reflect what others feel, why are we deeply empathic at some moments and cold at others? Why can the brain become a mirror... or tinted glass?

When the mirror lights up

The answer begins with a simple idea: the brain does not passively wait for life to happen. It anticipates it. Rehearses it. Rebuilds it. It is less like a camera recording reality and more like an obsessive director assembling rough cuts of the world before the scene is even over.

So-called mirror neurons got their name because they seem to do exactly that: reflect someone else's action inside our own brain. They are not a perfect bathroom mirror. They are closer to the one-way glass in a thriller interrogation room: enough information gets through for a scene to form on the other side, but it is never a full copy.

The original discovery is linked to Giacomo Rizzolatti, Leonardo Fogassi, and Vittorio Gallese at the University of Parma in the early 1990s. They were working with macaque monkeys, recording neurons in the premotor cortex, a region involved in planning movement. They found that some neurons fired when the monkey grasped an object and also when it watched someone else perform a similar action. It was not magic or mind reading. It was internal simulation.

Imagine your brain has a cast of stunt doubles. Every time you see someone reaching for a hot cup, some of those doubles quietly rehearse the movement inside you without your body actually doing it. That simulation helps you understand not only what the other person is doing, but often why they are doing it.

Not only action: sensation too

Over time, the story grew larger. It was not just about movement. In 2004 and 2006, Christian Keysers and his team in the Netherlands showed that seeing another person being touched could activate regions in the observer related to their own sense of touch. As if watching a caress lightly brushed the viewer's inner skin.

Something similar appeared with pain. In 2003, Tania Singer and colleagues published a famous study: women inside a brain scanner saw signals indicating that either they would receive a mild electric shock or their romantic partner would. When the shock was for the partner, the brain did not strongly activate the areas most tied to the raw physical side of pain, but it did activate regions linked to the emotional side, the unpleasant, alarming quality that makes pain matter. In other words, we do not literally feel the other person's wound, but the brain builds an emotional shadow of that suffering.

And that word matters: shadow. It avoids a common misunderstanding. Mirror neurons do not mean that we copy everything like human photocopiers. What the brain creates is a reduced version, a sketch. Enough to understand, not enough to be fully swallowed by it. If every cry we witnessed hit us with the exact force of real pain, daily life would become unbearable.

Why watching can hurt so much

Some scenes make this brutally clear. A parent may tense up more watching a child get vaccinated than the child does. A moviegoer may cover their eyes seconds before impact in a horror film, even while knowing it is fiction. The brain responds to signs, not only to facts. A needle approaching, an ankle twisting, a face tightening in fear: all of these can trigger prediction systems.

It is as if the brain works from trailers. It does not need the full movie to start feeling something. One frame is often enough to project the rest. That is why another person's pain can move through us even before it fully happens.

By 2013, researchers such as Claus Lamm were refining this picture: empathy is not one single thing. There are at least two layers. One is automatic, fast, bodily, that first jolt that makes you shrink when you see a blow. The other is regulated and reflective: understanding that the pain belongs to someone else, not to you, and deciding how to respond. Feeling and helping are not the same thing, even if they often work together.

- First layer: automatic resonance. The body reacts before thought catches up.
- Second layer: interpretation. You understand what is happening and to whom.
- Third layer: regulation. You choose whether to comfort, assist, step back, or look away.

The mirror does not reflect everyone equally

Here the story becomes uncomfortable. The brain does not distribute empathy with perfect fairness. It reflects some faces more readily than others, some bodies more than others, some stories more than others. Closeness matters. So do familiarity, prejudice, fear, and context.

Laboratory studies and daily life show this again and again: we react more strongly to the pain of people we experience as part of our group. That group might be family, a romantic partner, a political tribe, a sports team, or a cultural community. The brain's mirror is not an impartial judge. It arrives already tinted by personal history.

This helps explain why a news story can shatter us when we see the face, hear the voice, and learn the name of one person, yet leave us almost motionless when thousands are described in the abstract. The brain handles a scene better than a statistic. A child beside a broken bicycle reaches us more deeply than a graph. A trembling hand more than a number.

Attention also matters. If you are rushed, overwhelmed, or emotionally drained, the system lowers its shutters. Not necessarily because you are cruel, but because the brain manages energy the way a city handles a blackout: it lights what feels urgent and leaves the rest in dimness. Empathy, then, is not only kindness. It is also available fuel.

When feeling too much becomes a problem

Some people do not merely imagine another person's pain. They almost feel it in their own body. A few studies have described extreme mirror-touch or mirror-pain responses, where seeing another person touched or injured produces tactile or painful sensations in the observer. These cases are uncommon, but they are revealing. They show that the border between seeing and feeling is thinner than we like to think.

Still, a mirror without filters can be as problematic as an opaque one. Doctors, nurses, rescue workers, and caregivers cannot collapse with every patient. They need a delicate combination: enough resonance not to become stone, enough distance to remain useful. In other words, the brain was not designed only to feel. It was designed to survive while feeling.

What cinema understood early

Directors, actors, and novelists have exploited this mechanism for centuries. A close-up of a trembling hand, a broken breath, a pause that lasts too long before bad news arrives. The whole wound does not need to be shown. Suggestion is enough. The viewer completes the scene inside.

That is why art can hurt. And also why it can heal. When a story forces us to inhabit, even briefly, the skin of someone very different from us, it stretches the range of what our inner mirror recognizes as familiar. It does not rewrite biology from scratch, but it trains it, sharpens it, and makes it less lazy.

Beyond the myth

Over the years, mirror neurons were sometimes presented as the total explanation for empathy, language, culture, and nearly everything human. That goes too far. Scientists now debate how much mirror neurons explain on their own and how much depends on wider brain networks. Empathy does not live in a single key. It is more like an orchestra: perception, memory, emotion, attention, learning, and context all playing together, sometimes in tune, sometimes not.

But the discovery remains powerful for one reason: it reminds us that we never observe from a completely pure distance. To see is already to participate a little. Every other person's gesture leaves a faint trace in us. Every face alters us, even if only slightly.

The paradox of the mirror

We feel other people's pain because, in order to understand them, the brain first represents them inside us. It builds a living model of what it sees. Not an exact copy, but a rehearsal. And inside that rehearsal appears one of the most human possibilities of all: that the other person is not entirely other.

Maybe that is why one grimace on a subway can ripple through a whole carriage. Maybe that is why a screen can make us cry for someone who does not exist. And maybe the real question is not whether we are built to feel others, but what we do with that reflection once it appears. Because somewhere between the blow we witness and the hand we extend, a quiet part of who we are is decided.