The Great Silence: The Years When War Halted Science (1915-1918)

March 30, 2026



Imagine a world where the human mind, that tireless machine of questions and solutions, grinds to a halt. Not from lack of curiosity, not from a shortage of geniuses, but because the external world has descended into madness. We move to the year 1915. Europe, the epicenter of knowledge and innovation, is now a battlefield. The Great War has erupted, an open wound that would stretch for four long years, engulfing entire nations in a whirlwind of destruction.

What happens to science in such a scenario? To medicine, to the dreams of curing diseases and deciphering life's mysteries? The answer, to a large extent, is: a silence. A deafening silence that interrupted the vibrant rhythm of discoveries.

Let's consider the Nobel Prizes, the highest honor for these 'Architects of Life'. Since their inception in 1901, each year had celebrated a new milestone, a new frontier crossed. But between 1915 and 1918, for the first time in history, there were no Nobel Prizes in Physiology or Medicine. Academies were paralyzed, many scientists were on the battlefield, resources were for war, not for peaceful research.

The luminaries who once spent sleepless nights in their laboratories were now wielding weapons or repurposing their skills for destruction. A promising young man who dreamed of unraveling the secret of an infectious disease was now buried in a trench. The microscope, once a window to an invisible universe, lay dusty in an empty laboratory, while the chemist who used it designed lethal gases. It's the

great 'what if...?' of medical history: how many cures were delayed, how many advances were lost, how many lives weren't saved due to those years of madness?

It wasn't just that laboratories were empty; it was that the brightest minds in the world were trapped in a brutal conflict. International collaboration, that vital sap that nourishes scientific progress, shattered into a thousand pieces. Scientists from different nations who once shared findings and theories were now enemies on opposite sides of a fratricidal war. This period wasn't just a pause; it was a deep wound in the fabric of global research.

But was it truly an absolute silence? Or did the most brutal and urgent necessity of war, even amidst chaos and destruction, push medical science to find desperate solutions, planting seeds that would only blossom after peace returned?

The Great Silence: The Double Edge of War

The question hangs in the air: Was it an absolute silence, or did war, in its brutality, accelerate some advances, even if out of necessity? The truth, as almost always, is complex and heartbreaking. While the great wave of basic research and international collaboration was drastically curbed, wartime medicine, through a cruel paradox, was forced into an accelerated evolution.

Let's imagine the scene: thousands of wounded, mutilated, and sick young men arriving at field hospitals. Doctors, who once studied chronic diseases or performed complex surgeries in aseptic operating rooms, now faced unimaginable carnage: bullet and shrapnel wounds that tore through muscles and bones, rampant infections in unsanitary environments, devastating burns from chemical weapons. There was no time for 'pure' research; every day was a race against death and gangrene.

The Paradox of Wartime Progress: When War 'Teaches'

- **Blood Transfusions:**Before the war, transfusions were rare and dangerous. They were done 'direct,' arm-to-arm, and blood incompatibility was a fatal risk. The need to save bleeding soldiers spurred research. Scientists and doctors from various countries, often in isolation, began to better understand blood groups and develop methods to store blood with anticoagulants (like sodium citrate). This made it possible to create rudimentary 'blood banks' on the fronts, saving countless lives that would otherwise have been lost to hemorrhage. It was an improvement born directly from desperation.
-

Antiseptics and Wound Surgery: War wounds became terribly infected. Trenches were a breeding ground for bacteria. Surgeons like Alexis Carrel (Nobel laureate in 1912) and Henry Dakin developed the 'Carrel-Dakin method,' a system for irrigating wounds with a sodium hypochlorite solution. It was an innovative technique for the time, a desperate attempt to combat infections before the antibiotic era. Also, neurosurgeon Harvey Cushing, an American pioneer, revolutionized brain injury surgery on the battlefield, drastically improving survival rates.

- **Prosthetics and Rehabilitation:** The thousands of amputees returning from the front demanded unprecedented development in prosthetic manufacturing and rehabilitation techniques. What was once a marginal field became a medical and social priority, with the aim of restoring functionality and dignity to 'war invalids.'

- **Mental Health:** The horrors of war gave rise to a new type of 'illness' affecting soldiers: 'shell shock' or war neurosis. Although understanding was limited, this condition forced doctors to recognize the psychological impact of war trauma, laying the groundwork for the future study of post-traumatic stress disorder.

These advances were not the result of calm scientific contemplation, but of the brutality of urgency. They were patches, emergency solutions forged in the heat of combat, often lacking the rigor and validation of peacetime research. There were no publications in prestigious journals, no international congresses to share findings; only the stark reality of field hospitals.

Science on the Front: Personal Stories

Many scientists could not escape the call to arms. For example, Henry Moseley, a brilliant British physicist whose Moseley's Law was fundamental to understanding the periodic table, enlisted as an officer. He died at Gallipoli in 1915, at the age of 27, from a bullet to the head. His death led the British government to prohibit its most valuable scientists from active combat service. He is a symbol of the incalculable losses for science.

Another case is that of Fritz Haber, Nobel laureate in Chemistry in 1918 for the synthesis of ammonia (key for both fertilizers and explosives). During the war, Haber directed the development of poisonous gases for the German army. The irony of a man whose work allowed the world to be fed and at the same time created the most inhumane weapons is a reminder of how war corrupts and twists the purpose of science.

Even Marie Curie, who had already won two Nobel Prizes, did not stand idly by. Although her work in nuclear physics was earlier, during the war, she and her daughter Irène dedicated themselves to equipping vehicles with mobile X-ray machines, the famous 'petites Curies,' which were used to

diagnose fractures and locate shrapnel in soldiers. It was a direct and heroic application of her genius, but it diverted her energy from the fundamental research she could have been conducting in peacetime.

The Invisible Cost: What Was Lost

But beyond these practical applications, the cost was immense. The 'Great Silence' meant a hiatus in the advancement of theoretical physics, organic chemistry, cell biology, and many other disciplines that require years of uninterrupted study and constant funding. Think of all the diseases awaiting a cure, all the mysteries of the human body waiting to be deciphered. Every brilliant mind lost or redirected represented decades of knowledge and potential vanished. The network of international collaboration would take years, if not decades, to rebuild.

When the war finally ended in 1918, and the Nobel Prizes resumed in 1919 (with some awards given retrospectively for the lost years), the scientific world was not the same. There was a new awareness of science's vulnerability to conflict, but also a renewed and urgent desire to return to work, to use knowledge to heal and rebuild. The scars of war were etched not only on the landscape and on people but also on the pace and direction of scientific progress.

The Resilience of the Scientific Spirit

The story of 'The Great Silence' teaches us a profound lesson: science, though robust in its search for truth, is fragile in the face of human fury. It depends on peace, freedom of thought, collaboration, and long-term investment. But it also shows us the incredible resilience of the human spirit and the ability of scientists to adapt, even in the most extreme circumstances. Although the war interrupted the symphony of discovery, it could not completely extinguish the flame of curiosity and the desire to improve human life. It only silenced it for a time, so that later, with the return of peace, it would resonate with greater force and renewed determination.